#

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3674 OF 2004

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO. 386 OF 2000

Ratnakar Dattatraya Patade ..Appellant

versus

Smt. Smita P. Dalvi & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr. Yatin Shah i/b. M/s. Chitnis Vaithy & Co. for the Appellants.

Mr. Milind Bhise for the Respondent No.40

Mr. V. V. Jogelkar i/b. Niranjan Jagtap for the Respondent No.32.

CORAM : D. G. DESHPANDE,J.

DATE : 4TH MAY, 2005

P.C. :

1. Heard.

2. In this case an order was passed by me on 31.3.2005 accepting the consent terms as between some of the respondents and the builder or whatever that may be. At that time Respondent no. 32 was dead. His application for bringing the L.Rs. on record, was pending. But due to inadvertence no order could be passed therein.

3. The L.Rs. of Respondent No. 32 have filed consent terms between themselves and the appellant. The respondents obviously will have no objection. Therefore, this civil application is allowed. LRs. to be brought on record. Amendment to be carried out within 6 weeks from today.

4. Consent terms taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Undertakings given in the consent terms are accepted.

4.05.2005 (D.G. DESHPANDE, J.)